SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_6738**
Title of the Manuscript:	**OPTIMIZING SEED POTATO (Solanum tuberosum L.) TUBER YIELD AND SIZE DISTRIBUTION THROUGH INTEGRATED IRRIGATION WATER, NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS MINERAL NUTRIENT APPLICATION**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (*if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors must present the results in line with the experimental design and resolve the contradictions that exist between the present results and the backings used in the discussion section. The authors should blend the results in this research with the established facts on P nutrition and irrigation water to have acceptance among the researchers in the field. This is because the discovery in this research is not really a novelty but a confirmation of the work of the foremost researcher in the area.**	Most Kenyan soils due to overcultivation are deficient of N and P. Therefore as found out in this study, optimal application of either N or P may lead to increase in potato yield
Minor REVISION comments	The grammatical mistakes in the write-up should be rectified so as not to create a barrier between the message and sound language- background readers who might want to benefit immensely from the information contained therein.	Grammatical mistakes have been rectified as suggested.

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	After the corrections have been made, the article will be a good source of information for the end users (farmers).	It is believed the article will be a good source of information to those in the seed potato industry